



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/952,001	11/07/97	CARR	R P97194.024

PM82/1024
WILLIAM A BIRDWELL & ASSOCIATES
900 SOUTH WEST FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 1925
PORTLAND OR 97204

EXAMINER

PICKARD, A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3626

DATE MAILED:

10/24/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/952,001

Applicant(s)

Carr

Examiner

Allson Pickard

Group Art Unit

3626



☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Disposition of Claim

☒ Claim(s) 55-92 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☒ Claim(s) 56-58 and 88-92 is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 55, 60-62, 66-69, 73-78, 82-85, and 87 is/are rejected.

☒ Claim(s) 59, 63-65, 70-72, 79-81, and 86 is/are objected to.

☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _____ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☐ All ☐ Some* ☒ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☐ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____.

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

Attachment(s)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

— SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES —

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 55 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Merwarth, U. S.

Patent No. 605,891.

Merwarth discloses a gasket similar to applicant's comprising a first strip c, a second strip c³, and at least one spoke c². The first and second strips and the spokes are formed of a material adapted for sealing. Strips c and c³ are made of soft metal (page 2, lines 25-30) and c² is made of soft metal (page 2, line 46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3626

4. Claims 60-62, 66-69, 73-78, and 82-84 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mastin, U. S. Patent No. 1,245,002 in view of Smith, U. S. Patent No. 4,002,344.

Mastin discloses a gasket similar to applicant's comprising a first strip g', a second strip j, and at least one spoke k of sealing material (see Figure 3). A third strip (the inner most loop g') having an outer periphery less than the inner periphery of the first strip and a spoke extending between the third and first strips g' can be seen in Figure 3 as well. The strips and spokes are formed of the same sealing material and "are designed to produce a hermetic seal" (page 1, lines 23-27). Mastin does not disclose open or closed alignment spokes extending from the second strip.

Smith teaches the use of an open 46 and closed 51 alignment spoke which center a gasket between a variety of different sized flanges. The open spoke defines a concavity 48 and centering shelf 49. The closed spoke 51 has an aperture for a bolt and a tab portion 56 with identification data. These locators, 46 and 51, are taught to "accommodate different flange sizes, bolt circles, and bolt diameters" (col. 1, lines 35-37). Therefore, flanges with different outer peripheries could be used so that the locator or alignment spoke is congruent with a larger outer flange while the outer or second strip is congruent with a smaller outer flange. As for making the alignment spokes out of the sealing material used for the strips and spokes, Mastin discloses using the ribs or ridges (spokes or strips) around openings to produce a hermetic seal. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

Art Unit: 3626

include an open or closed alignment spoke as taught by Smith extending from the second strip out of the sealing material to provide a gasket which can be properly aligned between a variety of different sized flanges which would provide an efficient seal at the inner and outer edges of the flanges as well as around the bolt holes.

5. Claim 85 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mastin.

Mastin discloses a gasket similar to applicant's comprising a first and second strip and at least one spoke. However, Mastin's outer or second strip is not formed in a square shape. Using a square shape is considered a design choice as applicant has not stated that using a square rather than a circle solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose. Further, it appears that the circular shape of Mastin would perform equally as well. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the second strip with a square shape as a matter of choice in design.

6. Claim 87 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mastin as applied to claim 85 above, and further in view of Smith.

As mentioned above, Mastin does not include a closed alignment spoke which can receive a bolt. Smith teaches the use of a closed 51 alignment spoke to center a gasket between a variety of different sized flanges. The closed spoke 51 has an aperture for a bolt. The closed spoke 51 is taught to "accommodate different flange sizes, bolt circles, and bolt diameters" (col. 1, lines 35-37). As for making the alignment spokes out of the sealing material used for the strips and spokes, Mastin has disclosed that this is desirable so as to provide a seal around the

Art Unit: 3626

holes. Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include a closed alignment spoke as taught by Smith extending from the second strip out of the sealing material to provide a gasket which can be properly aligned between a variety of different sized flanges which would provide an efficient seal at the inner and outer edges of the flanges as well as around the bolt holes.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 56-58 and 88-92 are allowed.
8. Claims 59, 63-65, 70-72, 79-81, and 86 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison Pickard whose telephone number is (703) 305-0882.



Anthony K. Smith
Supervisory Examiner
Group 3600

ap

October 20, 2000